

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

NEUROSEARCH AS
Patent Department
93 Pederstrupvej
DK-2750 Ballerup
DANEMARK

MA

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

07.06.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference
244-204-WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050427

International filing date (day/month/year)
02.04.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)
10.04.2003

Applicant
NEUROSEARCH AS et al.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international
preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Hebert, W

Tel. +49 89 2399-2152



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 244-204-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/PEA416																								
International application No. PCT/EP2004/050427	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 02.04.2004	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 10.04.2003																									
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07D235/06, C07D401/10, A61K31/4184, A61K31/454, A61K31/496, A61P21/02, A61P23/00, A61P25/08, A61P25/20, A61P25/22																											
Applicant NEUROSEARCH AS et al.																											
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet. 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> <i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i> a total of sheets, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). <input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). 																											
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. This report contains Indications relating to the following items: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15%;">Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the opinion</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table> 				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion																									
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																									
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																									
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																									
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																									
Date of submission of the demand 12.01.2005		Date of completion of this report 07.06.2005																									
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div> European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 </div> </div>		Authorized Officer Papathoma, S Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7536																									



10/551821

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 SEP 2009

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050427

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
 - ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 - ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements*** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):*

Description, Pages

1-33 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-12 as originally filed

Claims, Pages

34-36 as originally filed

- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
 - ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify):*
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify):*
 4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
 - ☐ the description, pages
 - ☐ the claims, Nos.
 - ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs
 - ☐ the sequence listing *(specify):*
 - ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing *(specify):*

* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050427

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 12

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See separate sheet for further details

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/050427

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-12
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-12
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-11
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

10/551821

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 SEP 2005
International application No.

PCT/EP2004/050427

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 12 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- 10 D1: WO 02/50057 A (TEUBER LENE ; WAETJEN FRANK (DK); NEUROSEARCH AS (DK)) 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27)
- 40 D2: WO 00/78728 A (TEUBER LENE ; WAETJEN FRANK (DK); NEUROSEARCH AS (DK)) 28 December 2000 (2000-12-28)
- 10 D3: WO 98/17651 A (TEUBER LENE ; WAETJEN FRANK (DK); NEUROSEARCH AS (DK)) 30 April 1998 (1998-04-30)

The application relates to benzimidazole derivatives useful in the treatment of central nervous system diseases and disorders, which are responsive to modulation of the GABA_A receptor complex.

1) Article 33(2) PCT

All three of the above cited in the Search report documents disclose the 5-alkoxycarbonyl-2-[(1-piperazinyl/piperidinyl)-phenyl]-benzimidazole core structure in compounds useful as GABA_A receptor modulators.

The compounds of D1 differ from the claimed entities in that the piperidine, piperazine or homopiperazine moiety is substituted by a carboxymethyl or amidomethyl group. In D2 the "heterocycle", defined as piperazin-1-yl, homopiperazin-1-yl, piperidin-4-yl etc. in claim 8, can be substituted with an alkoxyalkyl group (claim 1: page 88, line 31). In D3 the "heterocycle", defined as piperazin-1-yl, homopiperazin-1-yl or piperidin-4-yl etc. in claims 6-8, can be substituted with an alkenyl or alkylcarbonylalkyl group (claim 1: page 72, lines 1-10). On the basis of the definition of the substitution pattern of the heterocyclic moiety in documents D2

and D3, their subject-matter is considered to overlap with that of the present application.

However, due to the absence of explicit compounds of D2 or D3 falling under the definition of the claimed entities, the present claimed subject-matter can be considered as **formally** novel under Article 33(2) PCT.

2) Article 33(3) PCT

a) As closest prior art may be considered any of the documents D2 or D3, because -similar to the present application- each one of them discloses the 5-alkoxycarbonyl-2-[(1-piperazinyl/piperidinyl)-phenyl]-benzimidazole core structure in compounds useful as GABA_A receptor modulators.

The objective problem underlying the present application is thus "the provision of further compounds useful as GABA_A receptor modulators".

According to the present application the solution to the above problem lies in the substitution of the piperazinyl/piperidinyl moiety with an alkoxyalkyl, alkoxyalkenyl, alkoxyalkynyl, alkylcarbonylalkyl, alkenyl or alkynyl group. However, D2 discloses the alkoxyalkyl substitution (claim 1: page 88, line 31) of the heterocycle, which is defined in claim 8 as piperazin-1-yl, homopiperazin-1-yl, piperidin-4-yl etc., whereas an aryl-alkyloxyalkyl substitution is explicitly disclosed in compound 1v of table 1. Furthermore, D3 discloses the alkenyl and alkylcarbonylalkyl substitution (claim 1: page 72, lines 1-10) of the heterocycle, which is defined in claims 6-8 as piperazin-1-yl, homopiperazin-1-yl or piperidin-4-yl etc..

Due to the disclosure of documents D2 and D3 the subject matter of the present application can not be considered as inventive under Article 33(3) PCT.

Furthermore, even when regarding the application as a selection invention over the prior art, inventive merit can not be acknowledged, as no data are given, which could present possible unexpected effects or properties of the selected substitution pattern in relation to the rest of the range.

b) For the assessment of the present claim 12 (see also: description page 16, lines 18-35) on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claim. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the

use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- a) No examples support the definition of n being 2.
- b) With respect to claims 10 and 12, and even if claim 12 will be reformulated to a Swiss Type Form claim by entering the European Phase, it must be also mentioned, that they can not be considered:
- i) as industrial applicable as the modulation of the GABA receptor complex can not be considered in itself as a therapeutic application. The discovery, that a substance selectively modulates the GABA receptor complex, even if representing an important piece of scientific knowledge, still needs to find a practical application in the form of a defined, real treatment of any pathological condition in order to make a technical contribution to the art and
- ii) as clear (Article 6 PCT), since no instructions, in the form of any testable criteria, are available from the patent documents or from the common general knowledge allowing the skilled person to recognise, which conditions fall within the functional definition e.g. any condition susceptible of being improved or prevented by the modulation of the GABA receptor complex, and accordingly within the scope of the claim.
- The reason for the necessity of this clarification is, that a claim referring to a condition to be treated, which is functionally defined, would not be limited to the treatment of said specified condition (here central nervous system diseases and disorders), but by contrast embraces an undefined number of conditions all allegedly capable of being improved or prevented by the modulation of the GABA receptor complex. Under these circumstances the independent claim can only be regarded as clear if means are available to the skilled person for assessing whether or not an additional condition not expressly filed in the application but nevertheless affected by the administration of the said compounds is comprised in the scope of the claim.
- c) The term "prodrug" mentioned in page 9, lines 8-11 leads to an unclear scope, since it is unclear which structures are intended. The expression "prodrug" includes compounds obtained from another compound by a chemical reaction (structures which are structurally remote from the starting material), functional derivatives (compounds wherein the heteroatoms

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/050427

are exchanged by alternative atoms), compounds with numerous different types of side groups etc. Therefore, such a formulation is to be excised.